



I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as First Class Mail, in an envelope addressed to: MS AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Dated: October 26, 2006

Signature: Richard H. Anderson

(Richard H. Anderson)

Docket No.: 19036/40136
(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:
Kazuo Tsutsumi et al.

Application No.: 10/510,416

Confirmation No.: 8520

Filed: October 6, 2004

Art Unit: 1745

For: BATTERY

Examiner: C. K. Lee

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF FINAL REJECTION

MS AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

REMARKS

The Final Rejection dated August 3, 2006, requires a combination of four references (claims 1, 4-12, and 15) or five references (claims 2, 13, 16-19) and the long list of references, string together via hindsight, still do not meet all of applicants' claimed features.

The newest prior art reference, Sato (US 2002/0069514) is very different from applicants' claimed invention, as described below:

(1) Binder

In Fig. 1(A) and Fig. 1(B) of Sato, powdered electrode active material 11 is coated with an ion-conducting polymer 12. The ion-conducting polymer 12 acts as a binder. Generally, powdered electrode active material has a low electron-conductivity. Therefore, when the ion-conducting polymer is attached to the powdered electrode active material, the electron conductivity of the powdered electrode active material is not improved and a high output of electric discharge cannot be attained.